arXiv:hep-th/0411160v2 12 Jan 2005

hep-th/0411160
October 2004

Non-diagonal solutions of the reflection equation for the trigonometric $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ vertex model

Wen-Li Yang[a,b] **and Yao-Zhong Zhang**[b]

[a] Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University Xian 710069, P.R. China

[b] Department of Mathematics, The University of Queensland, Brisbane 4072, Australia

Abstract

We obtain a class of non-diagonal solutions of the reflection equation for the trigonometric $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ vertex model. The solutions can be expressed in terms of intertwinner matrix and its inverse, which intertwine two trigonometric R-matrices. In addition to a *discrete* (positive integer) parameter l, $1 \leq l \leq n$, the solution contains $n+2$ *continuous* boundary parameters.

PACS: 03.65.Fd; 05.30.-d
Keywords: Integrable models; Yang-Baxter equation; Reflection equation.

1 Introduction

Two-dimensional integrable models have traditionally been solved by imposing periodic boundary conditions. For such bulk systems, the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE)

$$R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)R_{13}(u_1 - u_3)R_{23}(u_2 - u_3) = R_{23}(u_2 - u_3)R_{13}(u_1 - u_3)R_{12}(u_1 - u_2), \qquad (1.1)$$

leads to families of commuting row-to-row *transfer matrices* which may be diagonalized by the quantum inverse scattering method (QISM) [1]. The QYBE has been studied for a long time and a large number of solutions, the so-called R-matrices, are known.

Not all boundary conditions are compatible with integrability in the bulk. The bulk integrability only holds when one imposes integrable boundary conditions specified by the so-called boundary K-matrices $K^{\pm}(u)$ which satisfy the reflection equation (RE) and its dual [2]-[4]. The RE (also called the boundary Yang-Baxter equation) and its dual are the analogue of the QYBE for models with open boundaries.

Much effort has been made on constructing (*non-diagonal*) solutions (K-matrices) of the RE by brute force (i.e. solving the RE directly) [4]-[10], and more recently by the boundary quantum group approach [11]-[13] and the affine Heck algebra approach [14]. In this paper, we propose a different approach, which is referred to as the intertwiner-matrix approach, to construct a class of non-diagonal solutions of the RE associated with the trigonometric $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ vertex model. The idea is originated from the studies [9, 10] of the RE associated with the $\mathbf{Z}_n$ elliptic Belavin model [15]. It has been observed in [16] that the generic non-diagonal solutions [4, 5] of the RE associated with the trigonometric $A^{(1)}_1$ vertex model (spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ XXZ model), as in the $\mathbf{Z}_n$ Belavin model, can be expressed in terms of the intertwiner-matrices and the diagonal face-type K-matrix. The advantage of this face-vertex correspondence method is that in the "face" picture the corresponding K-matrices become diagonal. This enables one to diagonalize the corresponding double-row transfer matrix with the special choice of the left and right boundary parameters [17] by the generalized QISM developed in [18]. Despite the success of this approach in the trigonometric $A^{(1)}_1$ and $\mathbf{Z}_n$ elliptic vertex boundary models, to our knowledge the face-vertex correspondence for boundary trigonometric $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ model with $n > 2$ has not been achieved so far. This is also in contrast to the boundary rational $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ model where the non-diagonal K-matrices are equivalent to the diagonal ones by simple spectral-independent similarity transformations [19, 20].

We have organized the paper as follows. In section 2, we introduce our notation and some basic ingredients. In section 3, we construct the intertwiner-matrix which satisfies the face-vertex correspondence relation between the two R-matrices $R(u)$ and $W(u)$. Through the *magic* intertwiner-matrix, we obtain, in section 4, a set of non-diagonal solutions to the RE and the dual RE. Section 5 is devoted to the conclusion. A sketch of the proof of the face-vertex correspondence relation is provided in the Appendix.

2 $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ reflection equation

Let us fix a positive integer n ($n \geq 2$) and a generic complex number η, and $R(u) \in End(\mathcal{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{C}^n)$ be the trigonometric solution to the $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ type QYBE given by [21, 22, 23]

$$R(u) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} R^{\alpha\alpha}_{\alpha\alpha}(u) E_{\alpha\alpha} \otimes E_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha\neq\beta} \left\{ R^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha\beta}(u) E_{\alpha\alpha} \otimes E_{\beta\beta} + R^{\beta\alpha}_{\alpha\beta}(u) E_{\beta\alpha} \otimes E_{\alpha\beta} \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where E_{ij} is the matrix with elements $(E_{ij})^l_k = \delta_{jk}\delta_{il}$. The coefficient functions are

$$R^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha\beta}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(u)\, e^{-i\eta}}{\sin(u+\eta)}, & \alpha > \beta, \\ 1, & \alpha = \beta, \\ \frac{\sin(u)\, e^{i\eta}}{\sin(u+\eta)}, & \alpha < \beta, \end{cases}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$R^{\beta\alpha}_{\alpha\beta}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(\eta)\, e^{iu}}{\sin(u+\eta)}, & \alpha > \beta, \\ 1, & \alpha = \beta, \\ \frac{\sin(\eta)\, e^{-iu}}{\sin(u+\eta)}, & \alpha < \beta, \end{cases}. \tag{2.3}$$

One can check that the R-matrix satisfies the following unitarity, crossing-unitarity and quasi-classical relations:

$$\text{Unitarity :} \qquad R_{12}(u)R_{21}(-u) = \text{id}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\text{Crossing-unitarity :} \qquad R^{t_2}_{12}(u)M_2^{-1}R^{t_2}_{21}(-u-n\eta)M_2 = \frac{\sin(u)\sin(u+n\eta)}{\sin(u+\eta)\sin(u+n\eta-\eta)}\,\text{id}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\text{Quasi-classical property :} \qquad R_{12}(u)|_{\eta\to 0} = \text{id}. \tag{2.6}$$

Here $R_{21}(u) = P_{12}R_{12}(u)P_{12}$ with P_{12} being the usual permutation operator and t_i denotes the transposition in the i-th space, and η is the so-called crossing paramter. The crossing matrix M is a diagonal $n \times n$ matrix with elements

$$M_{\alpha\beta} = M_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad M_\alpha = e^{-2i\alpha\eta}, \ \alpha = 1, \cdots, n. \tag{2.7}$$

Here and below we adopt the standard notation: for any matrix $A \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{C}^n)$, A_j is an embedding operator in the tensor space $\mathcal{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{C}^n \otimes \cdots$, which acts as A on the j-th space and as an identity on the other factor spaces; $R_{ij}(u)$ is an embedding operator of R-matrix in the tensor space, which acts as an identity on the factor spaces except for the i-th and j-th ones.

One introduces the "row-to-row" monodromy matrix $T(u)$, which is an $n \times n$ matrix with elements being operators acting on $(\mathcal{C}^n)^{\otimes N}$

$$T(u) = R_{01}(u + z_1) R_{02}(u + z_2) \cdots R_{0N}(u + z_N). \tag{2.8}$$

Here $\{z_i | i = 1, \cdots, N\}$ are arbitrary free complex parameters which are usually called inhomogeneous parameters. With the help of the QYBE (1.1), one can show that $T(u)$ satisfies the so-called "RLL" relation

$$R_{12}(u - v) T_1(u) T_2(v) = T_2(v) T_1(u) R_{12}(u - v). \tag{2.9}$$

Integrable open chains can be constructed as follows [2]. Let us introduce the K-matrix $K^-(u)$ which gives rise to an integrable boundary condition on the right boundary. $K^-(u)$ satisfies the RE

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{12}(u_1 - u_2) K_1^-(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 + u_2) K_2^-(u_2) \\ &\qquad = K_2^-(u_2) R_{12}(u_1 + u_2) K_1^-(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 - u_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

For models with open boundaries, instead of the standard "row-to-row" monodromy matrix $T(u)$ (2.8), one needs the "double-row" monodromy matrix $\mathcal{T}(u)$

$$\mathcal{T}(u) = T(u) K^-(u) T^{-1}(-u). \tag{2.11}$$

Using (2.9) and (2.10), one can prove that $\mathcal{T}(u)$ satisfies

$$R_{12}(u_1 - u_2) \mathcal{T}_1(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 + u_2) \mathcal{T}_2(u_2) = \mathcal{T}_2(u_2) R_{12}(u_1 + u_2) \mathcal{T}_1(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 - u_2). \tag{2.12}$$

In order to construct the *double-row transfer matrices*, besides the RE, one needs another K-matrix $K^+(u)$ which gives integrable boundary condition on the left boundary. The explicit form of the dual RE is related with the crossing-unitarity relation of the R-matrix [2, 3]. For a R-matrix whose partial transpositions have inverse, the corresponding dual RE reads

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{12}(u_2 - u_1) K_1^+(u_1) R_{21}^{-1,t_2,-1,t_2}(-u_1 - u_2) K_2^+(u_2) \\ &\qquad = K_2^+(u_2) R_{12}^{-1,t_1,-1,t_1}(-u_1 - u_2) K_1^+(u_1) R_{21}(u_2 - u_1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

The crossing-unitarity relation (2.5) of the R-matrix $R(u)$ allows one to simplify the dual RE into the form

$$R_{12}(u_2 - u_1)K_1^+(u_1)\, M_1^{-1}\, R_{21}(-u_1 - u_2 - n\eta)\, M_1\, K_2^+(u_2)$$
$$= M_1\, K_2^+(u_2)R_{12}(-u_1 - u_2 - n\eta)\, M_1^{-1}\, K_1^+(u_1)R_{21}(u_2 - u_1). \qquad (2.14)$$

Different integrable boundary conditions are described by different solutions $K^-(u)$ ($K^+(u)$) to the (dual) RE [2, 4]. Then the *double-row transfer matrix* of the inhomogeneous model associated with the R-matrix (2.1)-(2.3) with open boundary specified by the K-matrices $K^\pm(u)$ is given by

$$\tau(u) = tr(K^+(u)\mathcal{T}(u)). \qquad (2.15)$$

The commutativity of the transfer matrices

$$[\tau(u), \tau(v)] = 0, \qquad (2.16)$$

follows as a consequence of (1.1), (2.4)-(2.5) and (2.12)-(2.13). This ensures the integrability of the inhomogeneous model with open boundary. In this paper, we search for non-diagonal solutions to the RE (2.10) with multiple continuous parameters (c.f. [5]) by means of the intertwiner-matrix approach.

3 Intertwining vectors and the associated face-vertex correspondence relations

Let $\{\epsilon_i \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ be the orthonormal basis of the vector space $\mathcal{C}^n$ such that $\langle \epsilon_i,\ \epsilon_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$. For a vector $m \in \mathcal{C}^n$, define

$$m_i = \langle m, \epsilon_i\rangle, \quad |m| = \sum_{l=1}^{n} m_l, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n. \qquad (3.1)$$

Let us introduce n intertwiners $\{\phi_{m,m-\epsilon_j}(u) | \, j = 1, \cdots, n\}$. Each $\phi_{m,m-\epsilon_j}(u)$ is an n-component column vector whose α-th elements are $\{\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m,m-\epsilon_j}(u)\}$. The n intertwiners form an $n \times n$ matrix (in which j and α stand for the column and the row indices respectively), called the intertwiner-matrix, with the non-vanishing matrix elements being

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\eta f_1(m)} & & & & & & e^{i\eta F_n(m)+\rho_n}e^{2iu} \\ e^{i\eta F_1(m)+\rho_1} & e^{i\eta f_2(m)} & & & & & \\ & e^{i\eta F_2(m)+\rho_2} & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \ddots & e^{i\eta f_j(m)} & & & \\ & & & e^{i\eta F_j(m)+\rho_j} & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \ddots & e^{i\eta f_{n-1}(m)} & \\ & & & & & e^{i\eta F_{n-1}(m)+\rho_{n-1}} & e^{i\eta f_n(m)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

Here $\{\rho_i | i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ are complex constants with regard to u and m, and $\{f_i(m) | i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ and $\{F_i(m) | i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ are linear functions of m:

$$f_i(m) = \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} m_l - m_i - \frac{1}{2}|m|, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n, \quad (3.3)$$

$$F_i(m) = \sum_{l=1}^{i} m_l - \frac{1}{2}|m|, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n-1, \quad (3.4)$$

$$F_n(m) = -\frac{3}{2}|m|. \quad (3.5)$$

By the definitions of $f_j(m)$ and $F_j(m)$, after a straightforward calculation, we find

$$f_j(\epsilon_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & j > k, \\ -\frac{3}{2}, & j = k, \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & j < k, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

$$F_j(\epsilon_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & j \geq k, \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & j < k, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j \neq n, \quad (3.7)$$

$$F_n(\epsilon_k) = -\frac{3}{2}. \quad (3.8)$$

The above equations allow us to derive the following *face-vertex correspondence relation*:

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{12}(u_1 - u_2)\phi_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_1) \otimes \phi_{m-\epsilon_i,m-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}(u_2) \\ &\quad = \sum_{kl} W_{ij}^{kl}(u_1 - u_2)\phi_{m-\epsilon_l,m-\epsilon_l-\epsilon_k}(u_1) \otimes \phi_{m,m-\epsilon_l}(u_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Here the non-vanishing elements of $\{W(u)_{ij}^{kl}\}$ are

$$W_{jj}^{jj}(u) = 1, \quad W_{jk}^{jk}(u) = \frac{\sin(u)}{\sin(u+\eta)}, \quad \text{for } j \neq k, \quad (3.10)$$

$$W_{jk}^{kj}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(\eta)\, e^{iu}}{\sin(u+\eta)}, & j > k, \\ \frac{\sin(\eta)\, e^{-iu}}{\sin(u+\eta)}, & j < k, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j \neq k. \quad (3.11)$$

A sketch of proof of the above face-vertex correspondence relation is relegated to the Appendix. Then associated with $\{W(u)_{ij}^{kl}\}$, one can introduce "*face type*" R-matrix $W(u)$

$$W(u) = \sum_{i,j,k,l} W_{ij}^{kl}(u) E_{ki} \otimes E_{lj}. \tag{3.12}$$

Some remarks are in order. The face type R-matrix $W(u)$ does not depend on the face type parameter m, in contrast to the $\mathbf{Z}_n$ elliptic case [15, 24]. It follows that $W(u)$ and $R(u)$ satisfy the same QYBE, i.e. $W(u)$ obeys the usual (vertex type) QYBE rather than the *dynamical* one [25, 18].

The forms of the R-matrices $R(u)$ (2.1)-(2.3) and $W(u)$ (3.10)-(3.12) ensure that the set of intertwiner matrices satisfying the face-vertex correspondence relation (3.9) is invariant under the similarity transformation given by an arbitrary *diagonal constant* matrix. This allows one to turn the intertwiner-matrix (3.2) into the following *standard* form:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
e^{i\eta f_1(m)} & & & & & & e^{i\eta F_n(m)+\rho}e^{2iu} \\
e^{i\eta F_1(m)} & e^{i\eta f_2(m)} & & & & & \\
 & e^{i\eta F_2(m)} & \ddots & & & & \\
 & & \ddots & e^{i\eta f_j(m)} & & & \\
 & & & e^{i\eta F_j(m)} & \ddots & & \\
 & & & & \ddots & e^{i\eta f_{n-1}(m)} & \\
 & & & & & e^{i\eta F_{n-1}(m)} & e^{i\eta f_n(m)}
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.13}$$

where ρ is related to the original parameters $\{\rho_i\}$ in (3.2) by $\rho = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_l$, and the linear functions $\{f_j(m)\}$ and $\{F_j(m)\}$ are the same as those given by (3.3)-(3.5). Noting that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i(m) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{n-2(l+1)}{2} m_l, \tag{3.14}$$

one can show that the determinant of the intertwiner matrix (3.13) is

$$\mathrm{Det}\left(\phi_{m,m-\epsilon_j}^{(\alpha)}(u)\right) = e^{i\eta \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{n-2(l+1)}{2} m_l} \left(1 - (-1)^n e^{2iu+\rho}\right). \tag{3.15}$$

For a generic $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$ this determinant is not vanishing and thus the inverse of (3.13) exists. This fact allows us to introduce other types of intertwiners $\bar{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfying the following orthogonality conditions:

$$\sum_{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{m,m-\epsilon_i}^{(\alpha)}(u)\ \phi_{m,m-\epsilon_j}^{(\alpha)}(u) = \delta_{ij}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\phi}_{m+\epsilon_i,m}^{(\alpha)}(u)\ \phi_{m+\epsilon_j,m}^{(\alpha)}(u) = \delta_{ij}. \tag{3.17}$$

From these conditions we derive the “completeness” relations:

$$\sum_k \bar{\phi}^{(\alpha)}_{m,m-\epsilon_k}(u)\ \phi^{(\beta)}_{m,m-\epsilon_k}(u)=\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\sum_k \tilde{\phi}^{(\alpha)}_{m+\epsilon_k,m}(u)\ \phi^{(\beta)}_{m+\epsilon_k,m}(u)=\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{3.19}$$

With the help of (3.16)-(3.19), we obtain, from the face-vertex correspondence relation (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\tilde{\phi}_{m+\epsilon_k,m}(u_1)\otimes \mathrm{id}\right) R_{12}(u_1-u_2)\left(\mathrm{id}\otimes \phi_{m+\epsilon_j,m}(u_2)\right)\\ &\qquad=\sum_{i,l} W^{kl}_{ij}(u_1-u_2)\,\tilde{\phi}_{m+\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j,m+\epsilon_j}(u_1)\otimes \phi_{m+\epsilon_k+\epsilon_l,m+\epsilon_k}(u_2),\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\tilde{\phi}_{m+\epsilon_k,m}(u_1)\otimes \tilde{\phi}_{m+\epsilon_k+\epsilon_l,m+\epsilon_k}(u_2)\right) R_{12}(u_1-u_2)\\ &\qquad=\sum_{i,j} W^{kl}_{ij}(u_1-u_2)\,\tilde{\phi}_{m+\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j,m+\epsilon_j}(u_1)\otimes \tilde{\phi}_{m+\epsilon_j,m}(u_2),\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\mathrm{id}\otimes \bar{\phi}_{m,m-\epsilon_l}(u_2)\right) R_{12}(u_1-u_2)\left(\phi_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_1)\otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\\ &\qquad=\sum_{k,j} W^{kl}_{ij}(u_1-u_2)\,\phi_{m-\epsilon_l,m-\epsilon_k-\epsilon_l}(u_1)\otimes \bar{\phi}_{m-\epsilon_i,m-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}(u_2),\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\bar{\phi}_{m-\epsilon_l,m-\epsilon_k-\epsilon_l}(u_1)\otimes \bar{\phi}_{m,m-\epsilon_l}(u_2)\right) R_{12}(u_1-u_2)\\ &\qquad=\sum_{i,j} W^{kl}_{ij}(u_1-u_2)\,\bar{\phi}_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_1)\otimes \bar{\phi}_{m-\epsilon_i,m-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}(u_2).\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

4 Non-diagonal solutions to the reflection equation

We first present the main new result of this paper. It can be shown (see subsection 4.1) that

$$K^-(u)^s_t=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i(u)\phi^{(s)}_{\lambda,\lambda-\epsilon_i}(u)\bar{\phi}^{(t)}_{\lambda,\lambda-\epsilon_i}(-u), \tag{4.1}$$

gives a class of non-diagonal solutions of the RE associated with the trigonometric R-matrix (2.1)-(2.3). Here $\{k_i(u)|i=1,\cdots,n\}$ are the matrix elements of the λ-independent face-type diagonal matrix $\mathcal{K}(\lambda|u)$,

$$\mathcal{K}(\lambda|u)=\mathrm{Diag}\left(k_1(u),\cdots,k_n(u)\right), \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$k_j(u)=\begin{cases}1, & 1\le j\le l,\\ \frac{\sin(\xi-u)}{\sin(\xi+u)}e^{-2iu}, & l+1\le j\le n.\end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

$\phi_{\lambda,\lambda-\epsilon_i}(u)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{\lambda,\lambda-\epsilon_i}(u)$ are respectively given by the i-th column of (3.13) and (3.16) specializing to $m=\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i\epsilon_i$, and l is an positive integer, $1\leq l\leq n$. In addition to the discrete boundary parameter l (c.f. [5]), the solution contains $n+2$ *continuous* boundary parameters ξ, ρ and $\{\lambda_i|i=1,\cdots,n\}$. Besides the RE (2.10) (which will be proved later), the K-matrix $K^-(u)$ (4.1) also satisfies the *regular* condition (c.f. [7, 12]):

$$K^-(0)=\mathrm{id}, \tag{4.4}$$

and boundary unitarity relation:

$$K^-(u)\,K^-(-u)=\mathrm{id}. \tag{4.5}$$

(4.4) and (4.5) follow from the orthogonality condition (3.16) and the "completeness" relation (3.18) specializing to $m=\lambda$. In the following, we shall show that the non-diagonal K-matrix $K^-(u)$ (4.1) satisfies the RE (2.10) with the R-matrix given by (2.1)-(2.3).

4.1 Proof of the RE

Let $K^-(u)$ be a solution to the RE (2.10). As in [10], we introduce the corresponding face-type K-matrix $\mathcal{K}(m|u)$ via

$$\mathcal{K}(m|u)_i^j=\sum_{s,t}\tilde{\phi}^{(s)}_{m-\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j,\ \lambda-\epsilon_i}(u)K^-(u)^s_t\phi^{(t)}_{m,\ m-\epsilon_i}(-u). \tag{4.6}$$

Multiplying both sides of the RE (2.10) from the right by $\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3},m}(-u_1)\otimes\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_3},m+\epsilon_{i_3}}(-u_2)$, and using the face-vertex correspondence relation (3.9) and the "completeness" relation (3.19), we have, for the L.H.S. of the resulting relation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{L.H.S.} &= R_{12}(u_1-u_2)K_1^-(u_1)R_{21}(u_1+u_2)\\
&\qquad\times(\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3},m}(-u_1)\otimes K^-(u_2)\,\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_3},m+\epsilon_{i_3}}(-u_2))\\
&= R_{12}(u_1-u_2)K_1^-(u_1)R_{21}(u_1+u_2)(\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3},m}(-u_1)\otimes 1)\\
&\qquad\times(1\otimes\{\sum_{j_2}\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_2},m+\epsilon_{i_3}}(u_2)\tilde{\phi}_{m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_2},m+\epsilon_{i_3}}(u_2)\\
&\qquad\times K^-(u_2)\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_3},m+\epsilon_{i_3}}(-u_2)\big\})\\
&= \sum_{j_2}R_{12}(u_1-u_2)K_1^-(u_1)R_{21}(u_1+u_2)\\
&\qquad\times\left(\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3},m}(-u_1)\otimes\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_2},m+\epsilon_{i_3}}(u_2)\right)\mathcal{K}(m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_3}|u_2)^{j_2}_{j_3}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i_2}\sum_{j_1,j_2} R_{12}(u_1-u_2)K_1^-(u_1)W^{j_1\,i_2}_{j_2\,i_3}(u_1+u_2) \\ &\qquad \times \left(\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_2},m+\epsilon_{j_1}}(-u_1)\otimes\phi_{m+\epsilon_{j_1},m}(u_2)\right)\mathcal{K}(m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_3}|u_2)^{j_2}_{j_3} \\ &\vdots \\ &= \sum_{i_0,j_0}(\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_0},m}(u_1)\otimes\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_0}+\epsilon_{j_0},m+\epsilon_{i_0}}(u_2)) \\ &\qquad \times\Big\{\sum_{i_1,i_2}\sum_{j_1,j_2} W^{i_0\,j_0}_{i_1\,j_1}(u_1-u_2)\mathcal{K}(m+\epsilon_{i_2}+\epsilon_{j_1}|u_1)^{i_1}_{i_2} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times W^{j_1\,i_2}_{j_2\,i_3}(u_1+u_2)\mathcal{K}(m+\epsilon_{i_3}+\epsilon_{j_3}|u_2)^{j_2}_{j_3}\Big\}. \end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

Similarly for the R.H.S. of the resulting relation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S.} &= \sum_{i_0,j_0}\left(\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_0},m}(u_1)\otimes\phi_{m+\epsilon_{i_0}+\epsilon_{j_0},m+\epsilon_{i_0}}(u_2)\right) \\ &\qquad \times\Big\{\sum_{i_1,i_2}\sum_{j_1,j_2}\mathcal{K}(\lambda+\epsilon_{i_0}+\epsilon_{j_1}|u_2)^{j_0}_{j_1}W^{i_0\,j_1}_{i_1\,j_2}(u_1+u_2) \\ &\qquad\qquad \times\mathcal{K}(m+\epsilon_{i_2}+\epsilon_{j_2}|u_1)^{i_1}_{i_2}W^{j_2\,i_2}_{j_3\,i_3}(u_1-u_2)\Big\}. \end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Note that intertwiners are linearly independent, which follows from (3.15). Therefore the K-matrix $K^-(u)$ would satisfy the RE (2.10) provided that the corresponding face-type K-matrix $\mathcal{K}(m|u)$ defined by (4.6) obeys the relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i_1,i_2}\sum_{j_1,j_2} W^{i_0\,j_0}_{i_1\,j_1}(u_1-u_2)\mathcal{K}(m+\epsilon_{j_1}+\epsilon_{i_2}|u_1)^{i_1}_{i_2} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times W^{j_1\,i_2}_{j_2\,i_3}(u_1+u_2)\mathcal{K}(m+\epsilon_{j_3}+\epsilon_{i_3}|u_2)^{j_2}_{j_3} \\ &= \sum_{i_1,i_2}\sum_{j_1,j_2}\mathcal{K}(m+\epsilon_{j_1}+\epsilon_{i_0}|u_2)^{j_0}_{j_1}W^{i_0\,j_1}_{i_1\,j_2}(u_1+u_2) \\ &\qquad\qquad \times\mathcal{K}(m+\epsilon_{j_2}+\epsilon_{i_2}|u_1)^{i_1}_{i_2}W^{j_2\,i_2}_{j_3\,i_3}(u_1-u_2). \end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

Specializing m to the boundary parameter λ, i.e. $m=\lambda$, then one can easily check that the λ-independent face-type diagonal K-matrix $\mathcal{K}(\lambda|u)$ given by (4.2)-(4.3) solves (4.9). Therefore, the non-diagonal K-matrix $K^-(u)$ given by (4.1)-(4.3) satisfies the RE (2.10) associated with the R-matrix $R(u)$ given by (2.1)-(2.3).

4.2 Solution of the dual RE

Similarly, one can show that the non-diagonal K-matrix $K^+(u)$ given by

$$K^+(u)^s_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i^+(u)\phi^{(s)}_{\lambda',\lambda'-\epsilon_i}(-u)\tilde{\phi}^{(t)}_{\lambda',\lambda'-\epsilon_i}(u), \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$k^{+}_{j}(u)=\begin{cases} e^{-2i(j\eta)}, & 1\leq j\leq l',\\ \frac{\sin(\bar{\xi}+u+\frac{n}{2}\eta)}{\sin(\bar{\xi}-u-\frac{n}{2}\eta)}e^{2i(u+\frac{n-2j}{2}\eta)}, & l'+1\leq j\leq n, \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

satisfies the dual RE (2.14). Here $\phi_{\lambda',\lambda'-\epsilon_i}(u)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{\lambda',\lambda'-\epsilon_i}(u)$ are respectively given by the i-th column of (3.13) (with ρ replaced by ρ') and (3.17) specializing to $m=\lambda'$, and l' is an positive integer, $1\leq l'\leq n$, (which may be different from l). In addition to the discrete boundary parameter l', the solution contains $n+2$ *continuous* boundary parameters $\bar{\xi}$, ρ' and $\{\lambda'_i|i=1,\cdots,n\}$ (which may be different from ξ, ρ and $\{\lambda_i|i=1,\cdots,n\}$, respectively).

5 Discussions

We have constructed the intertwiner-matrix ϕ (3.13) between the two trigonometric $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ R-matrices $R(u)$, (2.1)-(2.3), and $W(u)$, (3.10)-(3.12). From the intertwiner-matrix and its associated matrices $\bar{\phi}$ (3.16) and $\tilde{\phi}$ (3.17), we obtain a class of nondiagonal solutions $K^{-}(u)$, given by (4.1)-(4.3), of the RE (resp. a class of nondiagonal solutions $K^{+}(u)$, given by (4.10)-(4.11), of the dual RE) associated with the R-matrix $R(u)$. In addition to the discrete parameter l (resp. l'), the solution contains $n+2$ continuous boundary parameters ξ, ρ and $\{\lambda_i|i=1,\cdots,n\}$ (resp. $\bar{\xi}$, ρ' and $\{\lambda'_i|i=1,\cdots,n\}$).

Consider the special cases where some of the two sets of boundary parameters associated with the left and right boundaries are frozen by the restrictions,

$$\rho'=\rho,\quad \lambda'+\sum_{l=1}^{N}\epsilon_{i_l}=\lambda, \tag{5.1}$$

where N is a positive integer, $\{i_l|l=1,\cdots,N\}$ are positive integers such that $2\leq i_l\leq n$. Then the decompositions of the K-matrices $K^{\pm}(u)$ (4.1) and (4.10) in terms of the intertwiner-matrices and diagonal face-type K-matrices should enable us to diagonalize the double-row transfer matrix of the trigonometric $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ vertex model with non-diagonal open boundaries by means of the generalized algebraic Bethe ansatz method developed in [18]. The results will be presented elsewhere [17].

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Australian Research Council.

Appendix: The face-vertex correspondence relation

Thanks to the non-vanishing matrix elements of the R-matrices $R(u)$ (2.1)-(2.3) and $W(u)$ (3.10)-(3.12), the proof of the face-vertex correspondence relation is reduced to the proof of the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_{12}(u_1-u_2)\phi_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_1)\otimes\phi_{m-\epsilon_i,m-2\epsilon_i}(u_2)\\
&\quad =W^{ii}_{ii}(u_1-u_2)\phi_{m-\epsilon_i,m-2\epsilon_i}(u_1)\otimes\phi_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_2), \qquad (A.1)\\
&\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_1)\,\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m-\epsilon_i,m-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}(u_2)=W^{ij}_{ij}(u_1-u_2)\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m-\epsilon_j,m-\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i}(u_1)\,\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m,m-\epsilon_j}(u_2)\\
&\qquad +W^{ji}_{ij}(u_1-u_2)\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m-\epsilon_i,m-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}(u_1)\,\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_2),\quad i\neq j, \qquad (A.2)\\
&R^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha\beta}(u_1-u_2)\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_1)\,\phi^{(\beta)}_{m-\epsilon_i,m-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}(u_2)+R^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta\alpha}(u_1-u_2)\phi^{(\beta)}_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_1)\,\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m-\epsilon_i,m-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}(u_2)\\
&\quad =W^{ij}_{ij}(u_1-u_2)\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m-\epsilon_j,m-\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i}(u_1)\,\phi^{(\beta)}_{m,m-\epsilon_j}(u_2)\\
&\qquad +W^{ji}_{ij}(u_1-u_2)\phi^{(\alpha)}_{m-\epsilon_i,m-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}(u_1)\,\phi^{(\beta)}_{m,m-\epsilon_i}(u_2),\quad i\neq j,\quad \alpha\neq\beta. \qquad (A.3)
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of (3.6)-(3.8) and the identity,

$$\sin(u)\,e^{\pm i\eta}+\sin(\eta)\,e^{\mp iu}=\sin(u+\eta), \qquad (A.4)$$

(A.1) and (A.2) can be proved case by case through tedious calculations.

(A.3) is further divided into three cases for $n\geq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&|i-j|\geq 2,\ \text{and } (i,j)\neq(1,n) \text{ or } (n,1),\\
&|i-j|=1,\ \text{and } (i,j)\neq(1,n) \text{ or } (n,1),\\
&(i,j)=(1,n) \text{ or } (n,1),
\end{aligned}$$

and one case for $n=2$:

$$(i,j)=(1,n) \text{ or } (n,1).$$

Using (3.6)-(3.8) and after a straightforward calculation, one can check (A.3) for all of the above cases. Therefore, we complete the proof of the face-vertex correspondence relation (3.9) which plays a key role to construct the K-matrices $K^-(u)$ (4.1) and $K^+(u)$ (4.10).

References

[1] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov and A. G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and correlation Function*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[2] E. K. Sklyanin, *Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems*, *J. Phys.* **A 21** (1988), 2375.

[3] L. Mezincescue and R. I. Nepomechie, *Integrable open spin chains with non-symmetric R-matrices*, *J. Phys.* **A 24** (1991), L17.

[4] S. Ghoshal and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Boundary s matrix and boundary state in two-dimensional integrable quantum field theory*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 9** (1994), 3841 [`hep-th/9306002`].

[5] H. J. de Vega and A. G. Ruiz, *Boundary K-matrices for the six-vertex and $n(2n-1)$ A_{n-1} vertex models*, *J. Phys.* **A 26** (1993), L519.

[6] J. Abad and M. Rios, *Non-diagonal solutions to reflection equations in $su(n)$ spin chains*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 352** (1995), 92.

[7] G. M. Gandenberger, *New non-diagonal solutions to the $a_n^{(1)}$ boundary Yang-Baxter equation*, `e-print: hep-th/9911178`.

[8] A. Lima-Santos, *$A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ reflection K-matrices*, **B 644** (2002), 568.

[9] H. Fan, B. Y. Hou, G. L. Li and K. J. Shi, *A new solution to the reflection equation for the Z_n symmetric Belavin model*, *Phys. Lett.* **A 250** (1998), 79.

[10] W.-L. Yang and R. Sasaki, *Solution of the dual reflection equation for $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ SOS model*, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004), 4301 [`hep-th/0308118`].

[11] L. Mezincescue and R. I. Nepomechie, *Fractional-spin integrals of motion for the boundary sine-Gordon model at the free fermion point*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 13** (1998), 2747.

[12] G.W. Delius and N. J. Mackay, *Quantum group symmetry in sine-Gordon and affine Toda field theories on the half-line*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **233** (2003), 173 [`hep-th/0112023`].

[13] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N. Crampe, A. Doikou, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, *Classification of reflection matrices related to (super-) Yangians and application to open spin chain*

models, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 668** (2003), 469; *General boundary conditions for the $sl(N)$ and $sl(M|N)$ open spin chains*, `e-print: math-ph/0406021`.

[14] A. Doikou, *From affine Heck algebras to boundary symmetries*, `e-print: math-ph/0409060`.

[15] A. Belavin, *Dynamical symmetry of integrable quantum systems*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 180** (1981), 189.

[16] W.-L. Yang, Y.-Z. Zhang and M. Gould, *Exact solution of the XXZ Gaudin model with generic open boundaries*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 698** (2004), 503 [`hep-th/0411048`].

[17] W.-L. Yang and Y.-Z. Zhang, Exact solution of the $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ trigonometric vertex model with non-diagonal open boundaries, `e-print: hep-th/0411190`.

[18] W.-L. Yang and R. Sasaki, *Exact solution of Z_n belavin model with open boundary condition*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 679** (2004), 495 [`hep-th/0308127`].

[19] M. Mintchev, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, *Spontaneous symmetry breaking in the $gl(N)$-NLS hierarchy on the half line*, *J. Phys.* **A 34** (2001), 8345.

[20] W. Galleas and M. J. Martins, *Solution of the $SU(N)$ vertex model with non-diagonal open boundaries*, `e-print: nlin.SI/0407027`.

[21] I. V. Cherednik, *On a method of constructing factorized S-matrices in terms of elementary functions*, *Theor. Mat. Fiz* **43** (1980), 117.

[22] J. H. H. Perk and C. L. Schultz, *New families of commuting transfer matrices in q-state vertex models*, *Phys. Lett.* **A 84** (1981), 407.

[23] V. V. Bazhanov, R. M. Kashaev, V. V. Mangazeev and Yu. G. Stroganov, $(Z_N\times)^{n-1}$ *generalization of the chiral potts model*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **138** (1991), 393.

[24] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and M. Okado, *Solvable lattice models whose states are dominant integral weights of $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **14** (1987), 123; *Local state probabilities of solvable lattice modles: $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ family*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 300** (1988), 74.

[25] B. Y. Hou, R. Sasaki and W.-L. Yang, *Algebraic bethe ansatz for the elliptic quantum group $e_{\tau,\eta}(sl_n)$ and its applications*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 663** (2003), 467 [`hep-th/0303077`].